

Table X5: Percentages of seral stages¹ predicted to occur on the Lake Whatcom planning area over time, by alternative.

Alternative 1:

<u>Seral stage</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2050</u>	<u>2100</u>	<u>2150</u>	<u>2200</u>
Open	12	8	13	2	3	2	6
Regeneration	2	7	10	6	8	5	11
Pole	28	20	15	22	23	13	20
Closed	53	58	54	44	24	23	18
Complex	4	8	7	26	41	49	40
Fully functional	0	0	0	0	0	8	6

Alternative 2:

<u>Seral stage</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2050</u>	<u>2100</u>	<u>2150</u>	<u>2200</u>
Open	12	7	10	2	2	2	0
Regeneration	2	7	10	11	4	6	5
Pole	28	20	16	12	12	6	5
Closed	53	58	56	44	29	20	23
Complex	4	8	8	31	52	57	59
Fully functional	0	0	0	0	1	8	8

Alternative 3:

<u>Seral stage</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2050</u>	<u>2100</u>	<u>2150</u>	<u>2200</u>
Open	13	8	2	0	3	0	0
Regeneration	3	6	10	0	0	0	0
Pole	26	21	18	2	0	1	1
Closed	54	57	58	52	20	20	13
Complex	4	8	12	46	75	70	75
Fully functional	0	0	0	0	1	9	12

¹ Values are in percent of the planning area.

Table X5, continued: Percentages of seral stages predicted to occur on the Lake Whatcom planning area over time, by alternative.

Alternative 4:

<u>Seral stage</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2050</u>	<u>2100</u>	<u>2150</u>	<u>2200</u>
Open	13	8	2	0	3	0	0
Regeneration	3	6	10	0	0	0	0
Pole	26	21	18	2	0	0	0
Closed	54	57	58	52	21	19	13
Complex	4	8	12	46	75	71	75
Fully functional	0	0	0	0	1	9	12